

St. Louis, MO 63167

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/688,848	10/16/2000	Scott E. Andersen	16517.001/38-21(51463)B	1817
7	590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
Lawrence M. Lavin, Jr.			SISSON, BRADLEY L	
Patent Department, E2NA Monsanto Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard			1634	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Communication Pos Annual	09/688,848	ANDERSEN ET AL.				
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:						
(a) it was not timely filed.						
(b) ☐ the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).						
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.						
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$						
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.						
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on						
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:						
(a) The brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).						
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$						
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).						
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED to	pecause:					
(a) ☐ the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.						
(b) ☐ the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.						
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on						
(d)						
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this appears the second sec	oplication:					
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.						
(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.						
(c) is before the examiner for consideration.		B. L. Susan				
	1	BRADLEY L. SISSON PRIMARY EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-461 (Rev. 9-04)

Part of Paper No. 20060523

GROUP 1800- 1630